April 27, 2009

Honorable Ramona Garrett Presiding Judge of the Superior Court Solano Superior Court 600 Union Avenue Fairfield, CA 94533

Sheriff's Response to the Solano County Grand Jury Report dated April 7, 2009 Entitled: Carry Concealed Weapons License.

Finding #1a: The procedures for obtaining a license to catty a concealed weapon(s) are lengthy and convoluted. According to State law, applications may be denied if the Sheriff or Police Chief feels that the applicant has not provided sufficient "good cause" for issuance of the license.

Recommendation #1a: Since the final reason for denial of a CCW license may simply be the result of a difference of opinion between the applicant and the issuing authority regarding the need for the license, it should be incumbent upon the Sheriff or Police Chief to make the process as transparent as possible. This will avoid the appearance of favoritism or unwarranted discrimination. The law enforcement agencies should review their individual policies for issuance of CCW licenses to ensure they are basing their final decision on the most significant issues, which are: the safety and security of both the applicant and the citizens of the county.

<u>Response</u>: The Sheriff agrees with the finding and recommendation of the Solano County Grand Jury.

Finding #1b: The cost to the applicant varies considerably from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, which indicates that there may not be an objective basis for some of the fees. The applicant bears the expense of the process whether or not the application is approved.

Recommendation #1b: The fees charged to the applicant should be reviewed to determine that they are in line with the actual processing costs incurred by the law enforcement agency. This may help to limit the wide variation in fees from jurisdiction to jurisdiction.

Response: The Sheriff agrees with the finding and recommendation of the Solano County Grand Jury.

Finding #1c: There may he an informal policy among some law enforcement agencies to deny access to the application process and/or discourage applicants from initiating the process.

Recommendation #lc: - All personnel employed in the headquarters of a law enforcement agency, from the front desk on up, should be instructed to not discourage applicants from initiating the CCW license process, nor to otherwise interfere with the process. Any law-abiding citizen has the right to apply for a license. The final decision and authority to issue the license lies with the chief executive of the agency: the Sheriff or Police Chief, and with no one else.

Response: The Sheriff agrees with the finding and recommendation of the Solano County Grand Jury.

Finding #2: The Sheriff and the Police Chiefs of the cities located within Solano County have indicated that they have fully met the requirements of California Penal Code §12053(b) requiring notification of denials. However, the Deputy Attorney General in charge of the firearms bureau of the State asserts she has not received any notification of denials from Solano County for 2008. This may simply be due to a paperwork transmittal problem among various sections within the California Department of justice. The Grand jury determined that the proper address to send the denials to is:

State of California, Department of Justice Division of Law Enforcement P.O. Box 160487 Sacramento, CA 95816-0487 Attention: Alison Y. Merrilees, Deputy Attorney General, Bureau of Firearms

Recommendation #2: In order to comply with the requirements of California Penal Code §12053(b), the Sheriff and the Police Chiefs should resubmit all previous reports of denials to the address indicated above. All parts of the statues involved must be complied with in full. The Sheriff and the cities need to meet the requirements of Penal Code §12053(b) of the statute by properly reporting all CCW license denials.

Response: The Sheriff agrees with the finding and recommendation of the Solano County Grand Jury. The Sheriff's Office has contacted the State of California, Department of Justice, Division of Law Enforcement, and has confirmed that all notifications of denial of CCW from the Solano County Sheriff's Office have been received.

Finding #3: There is an informal pre-screening policy among the Police Departments of the County to determine whether or not the applicant has sufficient good cause to carry a concealed firearm. If the applicant cannot satisfy the individual Police Department's criteria for good cause, he may be discouraged from initiating the application process. While this may not seem fair to the applicant and since he has not been given full access to the process, it may obviate the time and expense involved in going through the entire procedure. In the event of a pre-application denial, the applicant still has the option to apply to the Sheriff for a CCW license. According to the statutes, however, in the event of a denial, the applicant must be denied in writing following completion of the application process. This particular procedure does not allow for the circumstance where the applicant is denied during an informal pre-screening by the Police Department.

Recommendation #3: Since there are numerous denials taking place during the screening process (prior to an application being submitted) the Police Departments should provide the applicant with a denial letter (perhaps a form letter addressed to the applicant). The applicant may then submit an application for a CCW license to the Sheriff.

Response: The Sheriff agrees with the finding and recommendation of the Solano County Grand Jury.

Finding #4: While all sections of the statutes may have been met by the applicant, the statutes still leave the final decision for issuance of a license in the hands of law enforcement officials. It still may be denied if the issuing authority does not agree that the applicant has sufficient good cause to carry a concealed weapon.

Recommendation #4: Transparency is an important aspect of the CCW process. Without the full and open disclosure of every aspect of the process including proper reporting of denials, charges of favoritism or worse can be suspected in the issuance of CCW licenses. It is incumbent upon the issuing authority to ensure fairness at all levels of the application process.

Response: The Sheriff agrees with the finding and recommendation of the Solano County Grand Jury.

Sincerely,

Gary R. Stanton Sheriff-Coroner